

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/937,326 | 09/25/2001 | Arie Cornelis Besemer | 019219-013 | 9428 |
| 21839 | 7590 12/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | N, INGERSOLL & R | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | | |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3761 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | , | | Var | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 09/937,326 | BESEMER ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | • | | | |
| | | C. Lynne Anderson | 3761 | | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failure Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se | eptember 2006. | | | | | |
| 2a)[_ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 2-5 and 8-12 is/are pending in the app | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>4,5,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2,3,8,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement | | | | | |
| . %— | Glaim(o) are casjon to recine and a | , | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | |
| • — | Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicat | ion No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receiv | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal I | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | • | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/937,326

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 July 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bewick-Sonntag et al. (6,417,424).

Bewick-Sonntag discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the amount of non-acidic compound present with respect to the weight of the superabsorbent. Bewick-Sonntag discloses a hygiene article, such as a diaper, in column 5, line 1. The hygiene article comprises a superabsorbent material, as

Art Unit: 3761

disclosed in column 7, lines 57-60, and carrier material comprising a non-acidic compound in the form of cellulose acetate, as disclosed in column 8, lines 24-25. The article further comprises odor control, as disclosed in column 5, lines 20-24. The non-acidic compound is homogenously divided into two tissue layers located above and below the superabsorbent material, as disclosed in column 15, lines 56-57.

Bewick-Sonntag discloses in column 15, Examples 2 and 3, a carrier having a basis weight and thickness that is small relative to the superabsorbent material.

Therefore, the absorbent structure of Bewick-Sonntag comprises a small amount of cellulose acetate relative to the superabsorbent material. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention the non-acidic compound is present in an amount of 1-20% with respect to the weight of the superabsorbent, since Bewick-Sonntag teaches the desire to have a small amount of the non-acidic compound relative to the amount of superabsorbent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 8, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. Specifically, the closest prior art of record, U.S. Patents 6,534,572 to Ahmed and 6,417,424 to Bewick-Sonntag et al., fails to disclose a superabsorbent material comprising a non-acidic, cyclic lactide in combination with the superabsorbent material. The superabsorbent

Art Unit: 3761

composition of Ahmed comprises a polylactide, and during the polymerization process the ring of the lactide monomers is opened to form the polylactide. Therefore, the prior art of record does not teach nor fairly suggest the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3761

C/Acla

November 21, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER